UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,256	07/26/2006	Eckhard Kruse	1034193-000035	3047
	7590 02/01/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE		WILLIAMS, CLAYTON R		
ALEAANDRIA	x, v A 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2457		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,256	KRUSE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Clayton R. Williams	2457		

	Clayton R. Williams	2457					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ess				
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	date of the final rejectio	n. .ED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extremely an extra transfer of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	20102				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	isideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	t canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an ex	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-6,10-12,14-17 and 20-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	. In a face of a contract of filling at Nice	tion of Ammont will mak	ha antanad				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See continuation sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/ARIO ETIENNE/							
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

As an initial matter, Examiner has withdrawn the Jan. 8, 2010 advisory action in light of Applicants' inadvertent after-final filing of an incorrect set of remarks and claims dated Dec. 28, 2009.

Applicants contend that the prior art does not teach either an "integration layer" or a "proxy component". Examiner respectfully disagrees. Crater (col. 10, lines 8-22 and col. 6, lines 50-65, as admitted to by Applicant, does in fact teach an "integration layer" for aggregating data acquired from a plurality of distributed installations. Moreover, a "proxy component" of the claimed invention is realized in Carter by the very presence of a web browser. The web browser in essence works as an intermediary between the distributed installations and the integration layer, i.e. applets.